

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,402	05/08/2001	Joe F. Britt JR.	14531.5.1.5	1907
22913	7590 11/28/2003		EXAMINER	
WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER &			SAX, STEVEN PAUL	
SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2174	.E-	
SALT LAKE	SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111		DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary

09/851,402 Examiner

Steve Sax

Art Unit

2174

Britt, Jr. et al



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/9/02 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) X Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ 6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) L Claim(s) is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) 🗌 Claims Application Papers . 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on ______ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S:C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Notice of Dreftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/851402 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This application has been examined.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Donohue (6202207).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Donohue shows a client system with computer and program instructions communicating with a server (Figure 1, 2, column 2 lines 15-30 and 65-68, column 3 lines 1-5), a method of restoring a corrupted portion of program instructions at the client (column 4 lines 5-22, column 5 lines 35-50) including: checking the validity of system program instructions and application program instructions at the client to determine if a corrupted portion exists (column 6 lines 11-35 and 40-50, column 11 lines 5-30), and if determined that either have

Application/Control Number: 09/851402

Page 3

Art Unit: 2174

a corrupted portion, connecting the client to the server (such as over the Web column 9 lines 45-60), receiving replacement instructions from the server and replacing the corrupted portion with the replacement instructions (column 9 lines 55-68, column 10 lines 16-39).

- 5. Regarding claim 2, validity check uses a checksum technique (column 13 lines 45-60, column 14 lines 55-68).
- 6. Regarding claim 3, the act of connecting the client to the server includes selecting a local connection script associated with the server (column 8 lines 1-20, inherent).
- 7. Regarding claim 4, a default connection script is read from the memory of the client to connect to a remote computer and the selected local script is downloaded (column 8 lines 30-65, column 20 lines 45-65).
- 8. Regarding claim 5, the replacement instructions are automatically (without user intervention) requested after connecting to the server, and received (column 7 lines 4-16).
- 9. Regarding claim 7, the replacement instructions are written to a random access memory, decompressed, and written to a flash memory of the client (column 20 lines 30-65, inherent).

Claim 14 shows the same features as claim 7 and is rejected for the same reasons.

Regarding claim 15, an example of this is checking validity upon an initialization

Claims 16-17 are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1-2. In addition, note that the

sequence, and thus this is rejected for the same reasons as claim 12.

Claim 18 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 8.

portion being represented as a block is inherent.

same reasons.

14.

15.

16.

17.

Application/Control Number: 09/851402 Page 6

Art Unit: 2174

26. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be

negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

27. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donohue

(6202207).

28. Regarding claim 10, Donohue does not specifically show the Java applet, but Examiner

takes Official Notice that it is common in the art to use Java applets to transmit instructions over

the Internet. Donohue shows transmitting instructions over the Internet. It would have been

obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to use Java applets in the invention of Donohue,

because it would be an efficient way to transmit instructions over the Internet.

29. Claims 6 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donohue

(6202207) and McFadden et al (6614804).

Application/Control Number: 09/851402 Page 7

Art Unit: 2174

30. Regarding claims 6 and 21, Donohue does not specifically go into the details of using the satellite link to download the instructions, but does mention efficient downloading of instructions over a network. Furthermore, McFadden shows efficient downloading of instructions over a network, using a satellite link (column 2 lines 55-67, column 4 lines 5-35). It would have been

obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to use a satellite link in the invention of

Donohue, because it would be an efficient way to download instructions over a network.

31. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Steve Sax at telephone number (703) 305-9582.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Sax whose telephone number is (703) 305-9582. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (703) 308-0640.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703) 872-9306

Official Communication

Art Unit: 2174

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

DRIMARY EXAMINER